

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/214,881	06/07/1999	SHOICHI OZAKI	S71761PCUS 8089	
7590 03/16/2004			EXAMINER	
CHRISTOPHER J. BUNTEL, PH.D. BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
910 LOUISIANA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, T	X 77002		1644	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/214,881	OZAKI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C.	f extension and the corresponding amound he shortened statutory period for reply on e later than three months after the mail.	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: SEQ ID NO. 5 in claims 4 and 14 was not previously searched.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,6,14 and 18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , ,					

Continuation of 10. Other: It is noted that the IDS submitted on 12-4-2003 has not been considered because the statement made in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(e) was not accepted since one of the references was Appplicant's own work and dated back to July of 1996. Furthermore, Applicant's certified Foreign Priority documents have been received and considered and SEQ ID NO. 8, has no support in the earliest filed foreign priority document, JPA 8-187945, filed 7-17-96. So, if the claims presented on 12-29-03 were entered the effective filing date of the claims would be 10-7-1996. Lastly, it is noted that if applicant was to RCE the application, and properly submit a 37 CFR 1.132 Katz type declaration, removing the Sobajima et al., reference as potential art, pending a final search, the claims presented on 12-29-03 would be allowable.

Fatr J. NO. Can PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/15/04